Handout: Truth, abnormal worlds, and necessity

Jc Beall

July 28, 2009

MPP conditional

Introduce (or acknowledge) a collection \mathcal{W} of 'worlds' and, in turn, a primitve conditional \rightarrow which is *all-worlds-looking*.

 $w \models A \rightarrow B$ iff . $w' \models B$ if $w' \models A$, for all $w' \in \mathcal{W}$

In short: for any world w, our new conditional $A \to B$ is true at w iff there's no world at which A is true but B not.

- Disjunction, Conjunction get expected truth-at-a-world conditions (and falsity- too if need be).
- Negation gets truth-at-a-world conditions that allow for gluts (but, for current purposes, no gaps).
- Validity is as usual: absence of a world that 'makes true' the premises but fails to 'make true' the conclusion.

PMP, Curry

PMP.
$$\vdash A \land (A \to B) \to B$$

Curry paradox combines with PMP and our T-biconditionals to generate triviality (*real* absurdity). Let C be a Curry sentence that says $C \to \bot$ (e.g., 'If I am true, everything is true'), so that our T-biconditional (dropping Tr(x) for simplicity) gives us $C \leftrightarrow (C \to \bot)$.

6. \perp	[4,5 MPP]
5. <i>C</i>	[1,4 MPP]
4. $C \rightarrow \bot$	[3, features of \land]
3. $C \land C \to \bot$	[2, substitution]
2. $C \land (C \to \bot) \to \bot$	[PMP]
1. $C \leftrightarrow (C \rightarrow \bot)$	[T-biconditional]

So, we need to avoid PMP!

Abnormal worlds and 'jumpy' conditional

- Our models acknowledge a non-empty set $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ of 'normal worlds'.
- Define all (Boolean or standard first-order) connectives *uniformly* over all worlds.
- For our conditional: acknowledge 'jumpy' behavior, with $A \rightarrow B$ behaving one way at normal points and another way at abnormal points.
- For all normal worlds $w \in \mathcal{N}$:

 $w \models A \rightarrow B$ iff . $w' \models B$ if $w' \models A$, for all $w' \in \mathcal{W}$

• For all *abnormal* worlds $w \in \mathcal{W} \setminus \mathcal{N}$:

 $w \models A \rightarrow B$ iff ... [fill in favorite account (say, arbitrary)]

On these 'non-normal-worlds' semantics, we define validity only over (all) normal worlds of all models:

• Validity: no *normal* world (of any model) at which premises true but conclusion untrue.

With this setup, we keep MPP but, as wanted, lose PMP.

- MPP: validity is defined only over normal worlds. At any normal world, $A \to B$ is true iff there's no $x \in W$ at which A but not B is true. Hence, for any normal world w, if $w \models A$ and $w \models A \to B$, then $w \models B$.
- No PMP!! For abnormal worlds, we're treating the status of $A \to B$ in an arbitrary fashion. So, just let $\mathcal{W} = \{x, y\}$ with $\mathcal{N} = \{x\}$, and let $y \models A$ and $y \models A \to B$ but $y \not\models B$. Then $x \not\models (A \land (A \to B)) \to B$ as there's a point y at which $A \land (A \to B)$ is true but B not.

(NB: the Routley–Meyer ternary relation gives a slightly less 'arbitrary' feel to things, but skip this topic here – despite the fact that it is assumed in the background BXTT truth theory in *Spandrels of Truth* (OUP, 2009).)

Recap and Main Issue

- Liars motivates gluts.
- Gluts undermine MMP, and so push for a detachable conditional.
- Worlds and primitive all-worlds-looking conditional gives MPP.
- PMP and Curry paradox require *abnormal worlds* and 'jumpy' conditional.
- ... we have all of this *and* (thanks to Ross Brady) we have a non-triviality proof for truth theories that enjoy such features.

Minimal desiderata for adding Necessity

- Necessitation: If $\vdash A$ then $\vdash \Box A$.
- Box Release (rule): $\Box A \vdash A$.
- Diamond Capture (rule): $A \vdash \Diamond A$ (where $\Diamond A$ is $\neg \Box \neg A$).
- K/Distribution (rule): $\Box(A \to B) \vdash \Box A \to \Box B$.
- S4/KK (rule): $\Box A \vdash \Box \Box A$.

UAW: uniform all-worlds approach

Philosophers usually think of (broad) alethic necessity along 'all worlds' lines. This is a natural start. (The tag 'uniform' concerns no distinction between types of worlds—normal or abnormal.)

- We let \mathcal{W} be our collection of worlds.
- We define our *uniform*, *all-worlds* (UAW) Box thus:

 $w \models \Box A$ iff $w' \models A$ for all $w' \in \mathcal{W}$

UANW: uniform all-normal-worlds approach

The current idea is to make explicit use of our (sub-) collection $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ of worlds, namely the *normal* worlds.

• We define our *uniform*, *all*-normal-worlds (UANW) Box thus:

 $w \models \Box A$ iff $w' \models A$ for all $w' \in \mathcal{N}$

In short: for any world w (of any sort), $\Box A$ is true at w iff A is true at all normal worlds (versus, as in UAW, all worlds).

Problem with UANW: PMP!

- Define: let $A \Rightarrow B$ be $\Box(A \to B)$.
- Claim: $\vdash A \land (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow B$.
- Proof: suppose $w \not\models \Box(A \land \Box(A \to B) \to B)$ for some $w \in \mathcal{N}$, in which case there's some $x \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \not\models A \land \Box(A \to B) \to B$, and so there's some $y \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $y \models A$ and $y \models \Box(A \to B)$ but $y \not\models B$. As $y \models \Box(A \to B)$ we have $z \models A \to B$ for all $z \in \mathcal{N}$, and so no world (including y) makes A but not B true. Contradiction.

Diagnosis

- Curry paradox taught that our regular arrow had to be *jumpy*; it had to behave differently at abnormal worlds than at normal ones.
- On our UANW approach, it doesn't matter where in our universe of worlds we are (e.g., a normal or abnormal point); Box claims always look back to normal worlds.
- What's going on, then, is that our UANW approach to □A forces A to be evaluated at normal points.
- And that's the problem: PMP is broken only by evaluating parts of it at abnormal points; and □-ed PMP doesn't get that choice.

JANW: 'jumpy' all-normal-worlds approach

• For all *normal* worlds $w \in \mathcal{N}$:

$$w \models \Box A \text{ iff } w' \models A \text{ for all } w' \in \mathcal{N}$$

• For all *abnormal* worlds $w \in \mathcal{W} \setminus \mathcal{N}$:

$$w \models \Box A \text{ iff } w \models A$$

** Good news: we get the basic desiderata for our necessity operator from this account... [Proof: exercise.]

Actuality: similarly jumpy!

Assuming (as standard) $@ \in \mathcal{N}$, rigid actuality must also be jumpy.... [Discuss if time]

 $w \models \alpha A$ iff $@ \models A$

Problem: consider $@(A \rightarrow B)!$

Overspill result: much more general result!!

In general: there's no sentence that picks out only – or, hence, all and only – normal points! [Discuss if time]

$$w \models \mathsf{n} \quad \text{iff} \quad w \in \mathcal{N}$$

Problem:¹ consider $n \land A \rightarrow B!$

¹Above, **n** is a proposed sentential – say, 'normal truth' or 'normal-world-here' – constant.